AMENDED IN SENATE SEPTEMBER 7, 1999

AMENDED IN SENATE AUGUST 24, 1999

AMENDED IN SENATE AUGUST 16, 1999

AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 1, 1999

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 25, 1999

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—1999-2000 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 12

Introduced by Assembly Member Davis (Coauthor: Assembly Member Correa)

December 7, 1998

An act to add Section 1383.15 to the Health and Safety Code, and to add Section 10123.68 to the Insurance Code, relating to health care coverage.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 12, as amended, Davis. Health care coverage: second opinions.

Under existing law, the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act of 1975, health care service plans are regulated by the Commissioner of Corporations. Existing law provides that disability insurers are regulated by the Insurance Commissioner. Willful violation of the law regulating health care service plans is a crime.

Existing law requires health care service plans and certain disability insurers to file a written policy describing the

AB 12 — 2 —

manner in which the plans or insurers determine if a 2nd medical opinion is medically necessary and appropriate.

This bill would require a health care service plan and certain disability insurers to provide or authorize a medically necessary or appropriate 2nd opinion by an appropriately qualified health care professional if requested by an enrollee or an insured, or a participating or contracting health professional who is treating an enrollee or insured. The bill would also specify reasons for a 2nd opinion to be provided or authorized if, among other things, any one of 5 specified conditions occurs. The bill would also specify the mechanism for obtaining a 2nd opinion and the eligible providers for rendering a 2nd opinion.

This bill would also require an authorization or denial to be provided in an expeditious manner and would prescribe the conditions under which a second opinion must be rendered within 72 hours, would require that the plan or insurer file timelines for responding to requests for 2nd opinions, as described, by July 1, 2000, with the appropriate state agency, and would require that the timelines be made available to the public upon request. This bill would not apply to health care service plan contracts that provide benefits to enrollees through preferred provider contracting arrangements and that do not limit 2nd medical opinions, to disability insurers that do not limit 2nd medical opinions, or to certain other specialized types of health insurance.

By changing the definition of a crime regarding health care service plans, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

-3-**AB 12**

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

4

5

11

12

14

15

18

23

24

27

SECTION 1. Section 1383.15 is added to the Health and Safety Code, immediately following Section 1383.1, to 3 read:

- 1383.15. (a) When requested by an enrollee participating health professional who is treating an enrollee, a health care service plan shall provide or authorize a medically necessary or appropriate second appropriately qualified opinion by an health professional. Reasons for a second opinion to be provided 10 or authorized shall include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) If the enrollee questions the reasonableness or 13 necessity of recommended surgical procedures.
- (2) If the enrollee questions a diagnosis or plan of care for a condition that threatens loss of life, loss of limb, loss 16 of bodily function, or substantial impairment, including, but not limited to, a serious chronic condition.
- (3) If the clinical indications are not clear or are 19 complex and confusing, a diagnosis is in doubt due to 20 conflicting test results, or the treating health professional 21 is unable to diagnose the condition, and the enrollee requests an additional diagnosis.
 - (4) If the treatment plan in progress is not improving condition of the enrollee the medical within appropriate period of time given the diagnosis and plan of care, and the enrollee requests a second opinion regarding the diagnosis or continuance of the treatment.
- (5) If the enrollee has attempted to follow the plan of 28 29 care or consulted with the initial provider concerning serious concerns about the diagnosis or plan of care.
- 31 (b) For purposes of this section, an appropriately 32 qualified health care professional is a primary care physician or a specialist who is acting within his or her 34 scope of practice and who possesses a clinical background, 35 including training and expertise, related to the particular 36 illness, disease, condition or conditions associated with the request for a second opinion.

AB 12 - 4 —

(c) If an enrollee or participating health professional who is treating an enrollee requests a second opinion pursuant to this section, an authorization or denial shall provided in an expeditious manner. When 5 enrollee's condition is such that the enrollee faces an 6 imminent and serious threat ot his or her health, including, but not limited to, the potential loss of life, limb, or other major bodily function, or lack of timeliness that would be detrimental to the enrollee's ability to 10 regain maximum function, the second opinion shall be rendered in a timely fashion appropriate for the nature 12 of the enrollee's condition, not to exceed 72 hours after 13 the plan's receipt of the request, whenever possible. 14 Each plan shall file with the Department of Corporations 15 Managed Care timelines for responding to requests for 16 second opinions for cases involving emergency needs, urgent care, and other requests by July 1, 2000, and within 17 18 30 days of any amendment to the timelines. The timelines

(d) If a health care service plan approves a request by an enrollee for a second opinion, the enrollee shall be responsible only for the costs of applicable copayments that the plan requires for similar referrals.

19 shall be made available to the public upon request.

20

24

- (e) If the enrollee is requesting a second opinion about 25 care from his or her primary care physician, the second opinion shall be provided by an appropriately qualified health care professional of the enrollee's choice within the same physician organization.
- (f) If the enrollee is requesting a second opinion about 30 care from a specialist, the second opinion shall be provided by any contracted plan provider of the same 32 provider of the enrollee's choice from any independent 33 practice association or medical group within the network 34 of the same or equivalent specialty. If the specialist is not 35 within the same physician organization, the plan shall 36 incur the cost or negotiate the fee arrangements of that opinion, beyond second the applicable copayments 38 which shall be paid by the enrollee. Additional second—If not authorized by the plan, additional medical opinions

—5— AB 12

not within the original physician organization shall be the responsibility of the enrollee.

3

5

9

10

12 13

15

17 18

19

21

22

27

28

29

33

34

35

- (g) The enrollee shall obtain services only from a provider who is participating in, or under contract with, the plan pursuant to the specific contract between the plan and the subscriber. The plan may limit referrals to its network of providers if there is a participating plan provider who meets the standard specified in subdivision (b).
- (g) If there is no participating plan provider within the network who meets this standard, the standard specified in subdivision (b), then the plan shall authorize a second opinion by an appropriately qualified health professional outside of the plan's provider network. In approving a referral for a second opinion either inside or outside of the plan's provider network, the plan shall take into account the ability of the enrollee to travel to the provider.
- (h) The health care service plan shall require the opinion professional to health provide enrollee and the initial health professional consultation report, including any recommended procedures or tests that the second opinion health professional believes appropriate. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the plan from authorizing, its independent determination, medical opinions concerning the medical condition of an enrollee.
- (i) If the health care service plan denies a request by 30 an enrollee for a second opinion, it shall notify the enrollee in writing of the reasons for the denial and shall inform the enrollee of the right to file a grievance with the plan. The notice shall comply with subdivision (b) of Section 1368.02.
- (i) Unless authorized by the plan, in order for services 36 to be covered the enrollee shall obtain services only from a provider who is participating in, or under contract with, the plan pursuant to the specific contract under which the enrollee is entitled to health care services. The plan may limit referrals to its network of providers if there is

AB 12 —6—

9

13

17

19

21

25

30

34 35

37

a participating plan provider who meets the standard specified in subdivision (b).

- (k) This section shall not apply to health care service plan contracts that provide benefits to enrollees through preferred provider contracting arrangements if, subject to all other terms and conditions of the contract that apply generally to all other benefits, access to and coverage for second opinions are not limited.
- SEC. 2. Section 10123.68 is added to the Insurance 10 Code, immediately following Section 10123.67, to read:
- 10123.68. (a) When requested by an insured 12 contracting health professional who is treating insured, a disability insurer that covers hospital, medical, 14 or surgical expenses shall authorize a medically necessary or appropriate second opinion by an appropriately 16 qualified health care professional. Reasons for a second opinion to be provided or authorized shall include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) If the insured questions the reasonableness 20 necessity of recommended surgical procedures.
- (2) If the insured questions a diagnosis or plan of care 22 for a condition that threatens loss of life, loss of limb, loss 23 of bodily function, or substantial impairment, including, but not limited to, a serious chronic condition.
 - (3) If clinical indications are not clear or are complex and confusing, a diagnosis is in doubt due to conflicting test results, or the treating health professional is unable to diagnose the condition and the insured requests an additional diagnosis.
 - (4) If the treatment plan in progress is not improving medical condition of the insured appropriate period of time given the diagnosis and plan of care, and the insured requests a second opinion regarding the diagnosis or continuance of the treatment.
- (5) If the insured has attempted to follow the plan of 36 care or consulted with the initial provider concerning serious concerns about the diagnosis or plan of care.
- 38 (b) For purposes of this section, an appropriately qualified health care professional is a primary care physician or a specialist who is acting within his or her

— 7 — AB 12

scope of practice and who possesses a clinical background, 2 including training and expertise, related to the particular 3 illness, disease, condition or conditions associated with the request for a second opinion.

- 5 (c) If an insured or participating health professional who is treating an insured requests a second opinion 6 pursuant to this section, an authorization or denial shall 8 provided in an expeditious manner. 9 insured's condition is such that the insured faces an 10 imminent and serious threat to his or her health, including, but not limited to, the potential loss of life, 12 limb, or other major bodily function, or lack of timeliness 13 that would be detrimental to the insured's life or health 14 or could jeopardize the insured's ability to regain 15 maximum function, the second opinion shall be rendered 16 in a timely fashion appropriate to the nature of the insured's condition, no to exceed 72 hours after the 17 18 insurer's receipt of the request, whenever possible. Each insurer shall file with the Department of Insurance 20 timelines for responding to requests for second opinions for cases involving emergency needs, urgent care, and other requests by July 1, 2000, and within 30 days of any amendment to the timelines. The timelines shall be made available to the public upon request.
 - (d) If an insurer approves a request by an insured for a second opinion, the insured shall be responsible only for the costs of applicable eoinsurances copayments that the insurer requires for similar referrals.

25

30

33

34

- (e) If the insured is requesting a second opinion about care from his or her primary care physician, the second opinion shall be provided by an appropriately qualified health care professional of the insured's choice who is contracted with the insurer.
- (f) If the insured is requesting a second opinion about 35 care from a specialist, the second opinion shall be provided by any contracted provider of the same specialty, provider of the same or equivalent specialty, of insured's choice, within the insurer's provider 38 network, if the insurance contract limits second opinions to within a network.

AB 12 —8 —

6

17

18

21 22

27

32

(g) The insured shall obtain services only from a provider who is participating in, or under contract with, the insurer pursuant to the specific contract between the insurer and the insured. The insurer may limit referrals 5 to its network of providers if there is a participating

- (g) The insurer may limit second opinions to its 7 network of providers if the insurance contract limits the benefit to within a network of providers and there is a participating provider who meets the standard specified 10 in subdivision (b). If there is no participating provider who meets this standard, then the insurer shall authorize 12 a second opinion by an appropriately qualified health 13 professional outside of the insurer's provider network. In 14 approving a referral for a second opinion either inside or outside of the insurer's provider network, the insurer 16 shall take into account the ability of the insured to travel to the provider.
- (h) The insurer shall require the second opinion 19 health professional to provide the insured and the initial 20 health professional with a consultation report, including any recommended procedures or tests that the second opinion health professional believes appropriate. 23 Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the 24 insurer from authorizing, based on its independent 25 determination. additional medical concerning opinions 26 the medical condition of an insured.
- (i) If the insurer denies a request by an insured for a 28 second opinion, it shall notify the insured in writing of the reasons for the denial and shall inform the insured of the 30 right to dispute the elaim denial, and the procedures for exercising that right.
- (i) If the insurance contract limits health care services 33 to within a network of providers, in order for coverage to 34 be in force, the insured shall obtain services only from a 35 provider who is participating in, or under contract with, 36 the insurer pursuant to the specific insurance contract 37 under which the insured is entitled to health care service 38 benefits.
- (k) This section shall not apply to any policy or 39 40 contract of disability insurance that covers hospital,

9 AB 12

medical, or surgical expenses and that does not limit second opinions, subject to all other terms and conditions of the contract.

(k)

- 5 (*l*) This section shall not apply to accident-only, 6 specified disease, or hospital indemnity, or long-term or health insurance policies.
- 8 SEC. 3. No reimbursement is required by this act 9 pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California 10 Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred 11 by a local agency or school district will be incurred 12 because this act creates a new crime or infraction, 13 eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty 14 for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section
- 15 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition
- 16 of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article
- 17 XIII B of the California Constitution.